# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/967,032                                | 09/28/2001     | Monte J. Rhoads      | 42390P12320            | 4834            |  |
| 7590 08/24/2004                           |                |                      | EXAMINER               |                 |  |
| BLAKELY, S                                | OKOLOFF, TAYLO | DU, THUAN N          |                        |                 |  |
| Seventh Floor<br>12400 Wilshire Bouleyard |                |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026                |                |                      | 2116                   |                 |  |
| _   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/04/200 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   |   |   |  |   | de/        |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Applicat  | ion No.  | Applicant(s)  |            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | 09/967,0  | 032  | RHOADS, MONTE J.  | ·          |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examine   | er   | Art Unit  |            |  |  |  |
|   |   | Thuan N   |  | 2116  |            |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply   | ication appears on th   | ne cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence addres  | s          |  |  |  |
| THE  <br>- Externanter<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 0) days, a reply within the sta atutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap | event, however, may a re<br>atutory minimum of thirt<br>will expire SIX (6) MON<br>oplication to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication.  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on 28 September  | 2001.  |   |            |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□<br>8)□  | Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | re withdrawn from co  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 10)🖾  | The specification is objected to by the<br>The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September</u><br>Applicant may not request that any object<br>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including<br>The oath or declaration is objected to   | er 2001 is/are: a) ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requi  | be held in abeyan<br>ired if the drawing(  | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.  | 121(d).    |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| a)[   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action  | documents have been documents have been of the priority document Bureau (PCT Ru   | en received.<br>en received in Ap<br>nents have been<br>ule 17.2(a)).                                      | oplication No received in this National Stag  | j <b>e</b> |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information                              | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/02, 3/22/04.   |   | Paper No(s   | ummary (PTO-413)<br>)/Mail Date<br>formal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>  | )          |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/967,032

Art Unit: 2116

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: IDSs (dated 1/11/02 and 3/22/04).
- 2. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

#### **Drawings**

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings are required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koji (Japan Publication No. 11-312030).
- 6. Regarding claims 1, 4, 5, 9 and 13-16, Koji teaches a system comprising:

  a network interface coupled with a network to receive an increased network load

  comprising load portions for each of an increased plurality of connections with electronic

network access devices [translation, paragraphs 0030 and 0032];

Application/Control Number: 09/967,032

Art Unit: 2116

a power state selection system coupled with the network interface to receive at least a representation of the increased network load, to select a higher power state that consumes more power by comparing the representation with a predetermined threshold to determine that the representation is greater than the threshold, and to assert a power state selection signal that corresponds to the higher power state [translation, paragraphs 0027, 0034 and 0035];

a power state implementation system coupled with the power state selection system to receive the asserted power state selection signal and to assert a corresponding power state implementation signal [translation, paragraphs 0047-0056]; and

a power source coupled with the computer system to supply an increased amount of power to the computer system in the higher power state [translation, paragraphs 0047-00556].

Koji does not explicitly teach the system including a processor for controlling the switching of the power states. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that a computer system would include a processor which obviously capable for controlling the system operation, including the switching of the power states.

- 7. Regarding claim 2, Koji does not explicitly teach the network load comprises load portion for an un-secured and a load portion for a secured load portion. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the power management system taught by Koji capable for operating on any type of connection, either a secured or an un-secured connection.
- 8. Regarding claim 3, Koji teaches that the power state selection system comprises a threshold that corresponding to a predetermined operational power state for the server [abstract].

Art Unit: 2116

One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the threshold value is obviously programmable depending on the capability of the system.

- 9. Regarding claims 6-8, the claims are directed to apparatuses implementing the power managing system of claim 4. As stated above, Koji teaches the invention substantially as set forth in claim 4. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that Koji may also teach the implementations of claim 4 as set forth in claims 6-8. As such, claims 6-8 are rejected under same rationale with respect to claim 4.
- 10. Regarding claim 10, Koji teaches that the representation of the network processing load comprises an indication of a number of client connections (STB 10) [translation, paragraph 0030].
- 11. Regarding claim 11, Koji teaches that the representation of the network processing load comprises an indication of an activity of a processor (STB 10) [translation, paragraph 0030].
- 12. Regarding claim 12, Koji teaches that the system further comprising a logic to select by comparing the representation with a predetermined threshold [translation, paragraphs 0032-0034].
- 13. Regarding claims 17-19, the claims are directed to apparatuses implementing the power managing system of claim 16. As stated above, Koji teaches the invention substantially as set forth in claim 16. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that Koji may also teach the implementations of claim 16 as set forth in claims 17-19. As such, claims 17-19 are rejected under same rationale with respect to claim 16.
- 14. Regarding claims 20-22, since they recite method of operating of the apparatus defined in the apparatus claims, they are rejected accordingly based on the rejection of the apparatus claims.

Art Unit: 2116

15. Regarding claims 23-25, Koji teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Koji teaches the instructions stored in a machine-readable medium for carrying out the claimed method steps.

#### Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Thuan N. Du

August 20, 2004